## Lecture D.

## . Second order ODEs. Existence and uniqueness theorem. Theorem on prolongation of solutions.

The general form of a second order ODE is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}=G\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right), \quad G: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=x(t)$ is the unknown function and $G$ is a function of three variables. Like in the case of first order ODEs the the function $G$ is defined on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$; it is possible but not necessary that $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

A solution of (0.1) is any function defined on an open interval $t \in(a, b)$ and satisfying this equation for any $t$ of the interval. The latter requires that the extended graph of $x(t)$ belongs to the domain $\Omega$. The extended graph of a solution $x(t), t \in(a, b)$ is, by definition, the curve $\left\{t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right\}_{t \in(a, b)} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

The physical meaning of (0.1) is as follows: a body moves along a straight line (the $x$-axes), its acceleration (Hebrew: teutsa) at time moment $t$ depends on the time moment, the location of the body at this time-moment, and the velocity of the body at the same time-moment.

It is clear that (0.1) has infinitely many solution; the solution depends on the initial position of the body and its initial velocity. One can expect that if the initial position and the initial velocity are fixed then the solution is unique. Mathematically, the initial position and the initial velocity means the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, \quad x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=v_{0}, \quad\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in \Omega . \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These conditions are called the initial conditions.
Theorem 1. (Existence and uniqueness theorem). Assume that the function $G: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and has continuous first order derivatives with respect to the second and the third argument (corresponding to $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ ).

1. For any point $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in \Omega$ equation (0.1) has a solution $x(t)$ satisfying (0.2) and defined on some time-interval $(a, b)$ containing $t_{0}$, satisfying (0.2).
2. For a point $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in \Omega$. Let $x(t)$ and $\tilde{x}(t)$ be two solutions of the same equation (0.1) satisfying the same condition (0.2) and defined on intervals $I$ and $\tilde{I}$. Then $x(t)=\tilde{x}(t)$ for any point $t \in I \cap \tilde{I}$.

Like in the case of first order ODEs the existence part of the theorem does not give any information on the maximal possible length of the interval $(a, b)$.

Theorem 1 and a similar theorem for the first order ODES (see Lecture A) are a part of a much more general existence and uniqueness theorem for systems of ODEs of any order which will be explained and proved in the end of this course. Discussing the general existence and uniqueness theorem (probably you already guess what is it) we will see how the assumption on the function $G$ can be weakened.

Important. Note that the geometric interpretation of the uniqueness part of Theorem 1 and the theorem on the same topic for the first order ODEs are different. In the case of first order ODEs the graphs of two solutions of the same equations cannot intersect, unless they coincide or one of the graphs is a part of the other. In the case of second order ODEs the intersection is possible. What is impossible is the tangency of two graphs of the same equation. In fact, two solutions satisfy
the same initial condition (0.2) if and only if their (usual) graphs not only intersect but also are tangent at the point $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)$.

For second order ODEs (and for $k$ th order ODEs) there is an analogous of the theorem on prolongation of solutions (see Lecture A, Theorems 2,3).

Theorem 2. (prolongation of solutions). Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (0.1) defined on an interval $t \in(a, b)$. Assume that there exist $\lim _{t \rightarrow b} x(t)=B_{1}, \lim _{t \rightarrow b} x^{\prime}(t)=$ $B_{2}$, where $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are finite numbers. If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^{3}$ we also require that the point $\left(b, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ belongs to the domain $\Omega$. Then the solution $x(t)$ can be prolonged to the interval $(a, b+\epsilon)$, where $\epsilon>0$, i.e. there exists a solution defined on the interval $(a, b+\epsilon)$ which coincides with $x(t)$ at points $t \in(a, b)$.
Similarly, if there exist $\lim _{t \rightarrow a} x(t)=A_{1}, \lim _{t \rightarrow a} x^{\prime}(t)=A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are finite numbers such that $\left(a, A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \in \Omega$ then the solution $x(t)$ can be prolonged to the interval $(a-\epsilon, b)$, where $\epsilon>0$.

Proof. Let us prove the first statement (prolongation from $(a, b)$ to $(a, b+\epsilon)$ ). The point ( $b, B_{1}, B_{2}$ ) belongs to the domain $\Omega$, therefore we can consider the initial conditions $x(b)=B_{1}, x^{\prime}(b)=B_{2}$. The existence part of Theorem 1 states that the equation has a solution $\tilde{x}(t)$ satisfying these conditions and defined on interval $(b-\epsilon, b+\epsilon), \epsilon>0$. Now the two solutions, $x(t)$ and $\tilde{x}(t)$ can be "pasted" together as follows:

$$
x^{*}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(t) \text { as } t \in(a, b) \\
\tilde{x}(t) \text { as } t \in[b, b+\epsilon)
\end{array}\right.
$$

medskip The function $x^{*}(t)$ is defined on the interval $(a, b+\epsilon)$ and it is clear that it satisfies equation ( 0.1 ) at any point of this interval except (logically possible) the point $b$. The proof of the fact that $x^{*}(t)$ also satisfies the equation at the pint $t=b$ (in particular that $x^{*}(t)$ has the second derivative at the point $t=b$ ) is an exercise (similar to the end of the proof of Theorem 2 form Lecture A.)

